Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/450,185	RASMUSSEN ET	AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark E. Wallerson	2626	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Mark E. Wallerson.	(3)		
(2) Stephen Catlin.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 6/22/2004.			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Banker et al (U.S. 6,275,600.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no c allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse significant contents.	last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THIS OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	been filed, APPLIC S INTERVIEW SUI	CANT IS MMARY
			-
	MARK WALI PRIMARY EX		

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposed various limitations to independent claims 1 and 12. The Examiner questioned whether there is clear support in the original specification for the limitation "....the image quality analysis module ONLY (emphasis added) identifies image quality deviations that are percievable by the human observer: Applicant will reconsider the proposed claim language The Examiner will reconsider the cited reference and any new limitations upon receipt of Applicant's response..